

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,311	09/30/2003	Kim Louis Walton	DW0078USNA	5253
24199 7590 04/19/2007 DUPONT PERFORMANCE ELASTOMERS L.L.C. PATENT RECORDS CENTER			EXAMINER	
			MULCAHY, PETER D	
WILMINGTON	STER PIKE, BARLEY MILL PLAZA P25 ON, DE 19805		5 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
1713				
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER'	Y MODE .
3 MON	THS	04/19/2007	PAP	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	 	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Office Active 2	10/674,311	WALTON ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Peter D. Mulcahy	1713
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DEPOSITION OF THE MAILING DEPOSITION OF THE MAILING DEPOSITION OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DEPOSITION OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DEPOSITION OF THE MONTHS FROM	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e. cause the application to become Af	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 F	ebruary 2007.	
		s action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under to		
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-14 and 17-27 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 and 17-27 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification.	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyar ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachmen	• •		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/674,311

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-22 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 19-40 of copending Application No. 10/915,011. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instantly claimed elastomeric olefin, propylene polymer, peroxide and coagent are set forth in the copending claims and the claimed properties overlap in scope.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 and 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,774,186 and 6,548,600 respectively. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instantly claimed

Art Unit: 1713

elastomeric olefin, propylene polymer, peroxide and coagent are set forth in the copending claims and the claimed properties overlap in scope.

The terminal disclaimers filed 2/2/07 been reviewed and have been disapproved.

The attorney who signed the disclaimers is not of record in the instant case and/or not under the customer ID.

Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy whose telephone number is 571-272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,311

Art Unit: 1713

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Peter D. Mulcahy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

4/13/07